PDNPA comments on Whaley Bridge Neighbourhood Plan at Regulation 16

Whaley Bridge Neighbourhood Plan			NPA comment on Reg 15 submission version	corresponding NPA Policy
page	section or policy	extract		
6 9	1.2 Last sentence of 2.1	together with the adopted Peak District Core Strategy and High Peak Local Plan	Peak District National Park Core Strategy 2011 <u>and Development</u> <u>Management Policies (DMP) document (2019)</u>	DMP document
19	3 rd para			
22	Policy G1 - Town Centre and Economy	b. it is not within the Peak District National Park; and	The proposed policy currently conflicts with NPA strategic policy The proposal is now more restrictive than PDNP policy (in that it is worded that none would be allowed in the national park). Remove the PDNP reference and instead state that the policy does not refer to PDNP	DS1, HC5 RT1, RT2, RT3
25	Policy G2 - Community Facilities	b. it is not within the Peak District National Park; and	 The proposed policy currently conflicts with NPA strategic policy The proposal is now more restrictive than PDNP policy (in that it is worded that none would be allowed in the national park). Point 3 - PDNP DMP document also has policy DMS2 which has marketing requirements, working with community and exploring other community uses before a community use is lost. Remove the PDNP reference and instead state that the policy does not refer to PDNP. 	Policy DS1, HC4

31	Policy H1 –	1. Extensions to		CS Poliov I 2
51			The proposed policy currently conflicts with national and PDNP	
	Heritage	historic buildings will be		-
		supported where they	strategic policy.	
		are of high quality and		DIVICTU
		complement the	Point 1 appears to be more permissive than national and PDNP policy	
		character of the original		
		building. This includes		an national and PDNP policy. r interpretation text: asset will be informed by a es the significance of the asset ng makes to its significance' tes however, it could be improved shop fronts or original features
		support for creative or	Example text to be included in policy or interpretation text:	
		green interventions that	DMP DMC3, DMC5, DMC7, DMC10	
		complement the	'Proposals for alterations to a heritage asset will be informed by a	
		character of the original		
		building.		
		2. The reinstatement of		tional and PDNP DMP DMC3, DMC5, DMC7, DMC10 al and PDNP policy. etation text: ill be informed by a gnificance of the asset is to its significance' ver, it could be improved
		shop fronts or original	Point 2 – is addressed in interpretation notes however, it could be improved	
		features that have	by adding: 'The reinstatement of historic shop fronts or original features	
		previously been lost will	that have previously been lost will be supported'	
		be supported.		
		3. New or replacement		
		shopfronts must be		
		designed to		
		complement the		
		character of the		
		building in question,		
		including retention of		
		historic features.		
		4. Development should		
		preserve or enhance		
		the Shallcross Incline,		
		including its immediate		
		landscape setting.		
33	Policy H2 –		n/a to PDNP	
	Peak Forest			
	Canal			
35	Policy H3 –		n/a to PDNP	
	Transhipment			
	Shed & Canal			
	Basin			

41	Policy E1 – Sustainable Design	8. Innovative and creative design solutions for new-build or extensions are encouraged, especially where they incorporate superior environmental performance. This includes new build or extensions in the conservation area or affecting listed buildings, where the requirements of WB-H1 are met	The proposed policy potentially conflicts with national and PDNP strategic policy. Point 8 – it is not clear that point 8 and WBNP H1 are aligned. Point 8 – doesn't clearly align to PDNP Design Guide which outlines that there may be circumstances where traditional design needs to be followed.	PDNP Design Guide CS CC1 DMC3, DMC5, DMC7, DMC8
43	Policy E2 – Minor villages and rural settlements	Plans of Character Areas from page 45	The included maps have the potential to undermine PDNP strategic policy. Concerns over the 'character area' shown for Taxal and Fernilee. The policy needs to refer to the maps to make it clear what these boundaries are, or they need to be explained in the interpretation text.	L1, DS1 DMC3, DMC4
47	Policy E3 – Natural Environment		No conflict	
50	Policy E4 – Rural and Landscape Character		No conflict	
52	Policy E5 – Local Green Spaces	LGS4 – Shallcross Wood	The proposed policy currently conflicts with PDNP strategic policy. LGS4 (Shallcross Wood, south of A5004) is designated as Natural Zone so other than in exceptional circumstances relating to management of the Natural Zone of for conservation/enhancement of the PDNP, proposals for development would not be permitted.	CS L1 DMC2

73	Policy T1 – Transport and Movement	Ν	lo conflict	
74	Policy T2 – Active Travels	Ν	lo conflict	